PRIOR to submission to Cong.

Teny: Somes began pushing for a legal charter soon after taking office

They! CIG sent drefts of enobling legislation to WH., but not a cool Reception.

Cong. did not directly great & de C/6. W/m the deptal. ludgete, was there specific or gen acknowledged of monies going to C/6? For Fig. 1947, did Coy. have knowledge of C/6 hodget?

SANDLE : 1946 House Comm. on Mil. Off. Leavings - call for a 1st rate , whele service.

Sac. H. Report 2734.

HS/HC 800. Indep Offices apprepriation Act of 1995- threatened do strip C16 of all unrombared, or perhaps vouchered fundo

- the empetes for Howelow's alarm to VANdenberg

HS/HC 800 ! see Houston's original drift legislation

process of Revision of Houston's original draft

JAN '47 decision to Avril detailed enabling legislation

a compare W. H. bill w/ Par's direction of Jan 22, 1946

seen HST letters accompanying the W.H. lill for mention (or lack theref) of CIA

what who does four of his play in the proceeding?

" 1 het effects do concerns re securit har on con consideration?

Darling, HS-1 Chap. V, Action by Congress, 1947-1949 SECRET

The 1947 Act (pre - March 1947)

CIG vulnerable to instant disestablishment. This alone made it essential to obtain cong. sanction. continuity and insurance vs. capricious or pol-motivated action by a future Pres.

another advantage offered by statutory authorization: CIA would be less vulnerable to accusations of being another gestapo, an instrument of executive arbitrariness.

writes of "early bills in the spring of 1946" w/out mmpkix **firextionx** many specifics. Most loosely provided for the creation of a CIA w/ unspecified funcs. Vague re the relationship bet the CIA and the service intell. agencies.

Souers' final report as DCI on June 7, 1946, urged necessity of legislation and an indep. budget for NIA and its CIG.

Houston's "24-hr." draft for Vandenberg served as the enabling bill of Dec, 1946, when the drafting committee of Army, Navy, and White H. met to draw up legislation for the Nat. Def. Estab.

after Houston drafted his sumer 1946 bill, he took it to Lay (Sec of the NIA) and Clark Clifford, Special Counsel to the Pres. Lay made a few changes, Clifford had little to contribute.

on Jan. 23, 1947, Vandenberg, Houston, and Pforzheimer met w/ the drafting committee of Murphy/Norstad/Sherman, where Vandenberg made a strong pitch for an indep and vigorous Agency. The preliminary W.H. draft which these indivs. considered on Jan. 23 included sections repugnant to the CIG officers peresent. Murphy then suggested that the offending section be replaced w/ the Houston draft which ru po had earlier been cleared w/ CLifford. At this meeting on the 23rd, Vandenberg emphatic in his

views that neither the Agency nor the DCI should participate in the making of policy; their func. was to be merely and exclusively service in nature, that is, to provide the intell necessary for the poicy makers. Vandenberg also stressed the difficulties of clandestine operations and argued that they must be supported by legislation which authroized the use of unvouchered funds. Also insisted that DCI should have unchallenged authority to discharge personnel. The drafters, prhaps impressed by Vandenberg's statement that

the new Agency should not simply go "free wheeling" around the Govt, decided the DCI should report to the proposed (NSC) But also agrred that Agency should have sufficient power to operate w/out having to seek specific approval from NSC for each action.

suddenly on Jan 25, Murphy announced that drafting committee had decided to omit from bill all but the barest mention of the proposed AGency, on the grounds that substantive portions of the draft legislation too controversial, esp. to Armv and Navv. which would object to omission of an advisorv board. Declassified and Approved For Release 2012/03/20: CIA-RDP01-01773R000100010018-4

5

5-

6

7

10

nat. oct.

12-14

Darling, HS-1 Chap. V, Action by Congress, 1947-1949 SECRET

The 1947 Act (pe-March 1947)

re reasons for omitting detailed plans for CIA: some fear that the broad authorities which ghe CIG sought for managing its properties and expenditures might draw fire. Moreover, "the ambitions of the Marine Corps were being held in check" so this too dictated avoiding arguement at this pt. then Darling concludes that the delay was profitable in that the 1949 law "gained from the experiences of the next two years."

15-16

CIG also tried to get the drafting committee to provide for a DDCI in the legislation, but the mil members blocked this. The services also blocked CIG desire to have the DCI named Tarburgue Advisor to the NSC, but this ideal would reappear in the Senate bill which eventially became the 1947 law.

16-17

it will be feedled that Directive of 22 Jos made the Derect a non-viling member free NIA

Am John on parket on